

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,090		03/30/2001	Guei-Yuan Lueh	42390P10798	9064
8791	7590	11/05/2004		EXAM	INER
		OFF TAYLOR &	STEELMAN, MARY J		
12400 WILS SEVENTH		ULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030		2122	

.

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/822,090	LUEH, GUEI-YUAN					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mary J. Steelman	2122					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of hew the new or amended claims w			nd an _				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. For example, in the remarks, the applicant argues that "The Examiner further states that Angel discloses, activating field watch by monitoring memory access instructions and variables of a program (Final Office Action, page 4). Applicant respectfully disagrees. Monitoring memory access instructions and/or variables is not the same as activating a field watch of a field. A field watch sequence may include instruction sequence to spill the mimic stack operands, which are live at the field access point, to their canonical spill locations (See, for example, Specification, page 14, paragraph [0047])." Examiner's Response: As previously pointed out in the Final Rejection (Mailed on 8/16/04) and maintained by the Examiner, Angel teaches "generating an instrumentation code corresponding to the field watch of the field" ("... automatically editing the executable byte code representation of ... methods for generating instrumented byte code.", [0127], "One objective of the instrumentation process is to alter the program to facilitate the gathering of diagnostic (field watch activated)... information on the program when it is executed..." Angel provided references that determine if a field is to be 'watched', then instrumentation code is generated and inserted.).

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER